

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,211	12/08/2005	Wridzer Jan Willem Bakker	2003.817US	1246
67706 ORGANON U	7590 08/18/201 ISA INC	1	EXAM	IINER
c/o MERCK			KASSA, TIGABU	
2000 Gallopin Mail Stop: K-6			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kenilworth, NJ 07033			1619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@spcorp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandonment	10/553,211	BAKKER ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TIGABU KASSA	1619				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of).	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on					
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	nendment which places the				
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☒ No reply has been received.						
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated his which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
. ☑ The reason(s) below:						
See continuation sheet						
	/CHERIE M WOODWARE Primary Examiner, Art Uni					

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademak Office

Part of Paper No. 20110812

Continuation of (7): The examiner placed a call on May 5, 2011 to Applicant's attorney Ms. Carol M. Gruppi seeking clarification regarding the response filed on May 03, 2011. Applicant's attorney clarified that applicant filed a continuation application and confirmed that no response would be filed to the office action mailed on 02/03/11. The examiner waited until the passage of the six month period and no response was filed by applicant. The application is abandoned.